emerald PUBLISHING

Journal of Service Manag

Augmented Reality Magic Mirror in the Service Sector: Experiential Consumption and the Self

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Augmented Reality Magic Mirror in the Service Sector: Experiential Consumption and the Self

Abstract

Purpose: This paper examines what the use of an augmented reality makeup mirror means to consumers, focusing on experiential consumption and the extended self.

Design/methodology/approach: We employed a multimethod approach involving netnography and semi-structured interviews with participants in India and the UK (n=30).

Findings: Two main themes emerged from the data: 1) the importance of imagination and fantasy and 2) the (in)authenticity of the self and the surrounding "reality."

Research limitations/implications: This research focuses on Augmented Reality magic makeup mirror. We call for further research on different AR contexts.

Practical implications: We provide service managers with insights on addressing gaps between the perceived service (i.e., AR contexts and the makeup consumption journey) and the conceived service (i.e., fantasies and the extended self).

Originality/value – We examine the lived fantasy experiences of AR experiential consumption. In addition, we reveal a novel understanding of the extended self as temporarily re-envisioned through the AR mirror.

Keywords: Augmented Reality Services, Experiential Consumption, Digital Extended Self, Possible Selves.

Paper type: Research paper

1   Introduction

It is one thing to look at beauty bloggers or micro-celebrities applying makeup on their faces and quite another to try on different makeup options using a live mirror image of *self* through augmented reality (AR). Mirrors have long played a role in imagining ourselves, from Narcissus to Snow White to the Hall of Mirrors at Versailles (Pendergast, 2009). Recently, mirrors in retail service have been supplemented or replaced by Augmented Reality (AR), both in-store and via computer and mobile apps. AR is defined as a smart technology that can enhance the online service experience by mixing the digital world with the real world (Javornik, 2016a; Hilken *et al.,* 2018). Today AR integrated marketing and service strategies are thought to be vital for creating customer engagement (Buhalis *et al.,* 2019). The essence of experiential consumption envolves fantasies, feelings, fun, and memorable experiences for consumers (Hirschman and Holbrook, 1982; Pine and Gilmore, 1998). AR tools have been deployed across multiple service sectors such as furniture, apparel, jewelry, and makeup. Make-up AR tools and filters are among the most popular applications and are considered an "early technical success" (Deloitte, 2020). Consumers try out virtual products superimposed on their faces, bodies, and rooms. AR makeup tools are mainly accessed via mobile apps and social media filters. While real makeup is mostly regarded as an act of self-enhancement (Smith *et al.,* 2021), consumers use AR makeup to identify potential transformed selves (Javornik *et al.,* 2022). The AR mirror images from the makeup apps can represent an imagined version of the extended self (Belk, 1988, 2013; Schouten 1991).

Scholars have detailed the role of AR in several contexts, such as service experience (Hilken *et al.,* 2017), customer dining experience (Javornik, 2016b; Batat, 2021), and intimate self with makeup brands (Scholz and Duffy, 2018). The experience has been characterized as involving escapism (Liao and Humphreys, 2015) and imagination (Huang and Liu, 2014; Beck and Crié, 2018). However, despite the extant research in AR, the

technology has not yet become a popular shopping medium (Liao, 2018; Qin *et al.,* 2021; Rauschnabel *et al.,* 2022). Several researchers have indicated the need for more in-depth explorations to understand the implications of consumer AR behaviors (Dacko, 2017; Chylinski *et al.,* 2020; de Ruyter *et al.,* 2020). Hence, this study attempts to understand AR experiential consumption and self-perception in the service context of AR-enabled virtual makeup. Our research is built on the recent work of Scholz and Duffy (2018) and Batat (2021) for two reasons. First, the two studies tackle AR experiential consumption. Second, Scholz and Duffy (2018) provide a good grounding to explore AR self in the makeup context. We address the following research questions: 1) What is the nature of consumer AR makeup experiences? 2) How do consumers experience their *possible selves* using an AR mirror?

We employed mixed qualitative methods by conducting thirty in-depth semi-structured interviews and a netnography in the UK and India. Emergent findings uncovered two main themes: 1) imagination and fantasy 2) the (in)authentic self. Participants felt that that the AR mirror can enhance their imaginations by allowing them to fantasize themselves as celebrities, to adopt a fantasy look, or to travel back in time. This normally led to an enjoyable lived fantasy experience. However, users also expressed concerns about the ability of AR mirrors to represent their imagined selves. They found the experience dehumanizing and preferred in-store makeup shopping and watching videos of celebrities and makeup influencers to gain a better sense of self-transformation and social acceptance.

2 Review of theory and literature

2.1 Service Consumption Experience

Today, providing memorable experiences is regarded as a key strategy for organizations vying for sustainable competitive advantage (Pine and Gilmore, 1998; Teixeira *et al.,* 2012). Service experience has recently emerged as a focal phenomenon in research and practice (Jaakkola *et al.,* 2015). Specific to the service context, Jaakkola *et al.* (2015, p. 186) define

experience as "An actor's subjective response to or interpretation of the elements of the

service, emerging during the process of purchase and/or use, or through imagination or

memory." Further, according to the tenets of Service-Dominant Logic, service experience

becomes the foundation of all businesses (Vargo and Lusch, 2008). Service experience has

been conceptualized as a multifaceted process associated with experiential learning and an

outcome of multiple situational factors (Helkkula, 2011).

According to Enrique Bigné *et al.* (2008), pleasure from the service experience is

intrinsically connected to customer satisfaction and long-term loyalty. Hence, enjoyable

hedonic experiences involving consumer interactions with objects, services, and contexts

(Hirschman and Holbrook, 1982, Holbrook, 1999) lead to positive outcomes for both

consumers and service providers. The experiential perspective highlights the relevance of

fantasies, feelings, and fun in consumer behavior (Xu and Chan, 2010). Several scholars have

explored consumption practices and the symbolic meanings accompanying various

consumption experiences (Chaney *et al.,* 2018), including extraordinary experiences

(Arnould and Price, 1993), risky leisure consumption experiences (Celsi *et al.,* 1993),

nostalgic experiences (Schindler and Holbrook, 2003), passionate experiences (Belk *et al.,*

2003), ludic experiences (Seregina and Weijo, 2017), and painful experiences (Scott *et al.,*

2017).

Further, service providers have increasingly adopted digitization to provide

technology-infused interactions and to enhance the overall service experience (Ha and Stoel,

2012; Holmqvist *et al.,* 2020). Studies indicate that in the case of many online shopping

scenarios with self-enhancement products such as fashion, the hedonic component of

experiences is becoming more vital for consumers (Ha and Stoel, 2012). Moreover, these

consumption experiences are seen to offer avenues for the expression of consumer identities

(Blazevic *et al.,* 2013). Such experiences allow consumers to engage virtually with the

product and services across different stages of consumption. Digitally experienced

possessions can become meaningful to the consumers within their interactions and

engagement with Digital Virtual Consumption (Belk, 2013).

2.2 Augmented Reality and Service Experiences

Augmented Reality (AR) is a technology that facilitates the seamless layering of

digital objects upon the real-world contexts, leading to a digitally enhanced perception of

reality (Azuma, 1997), without detaching the consumers from their surroundings (Bonetti *et*

al., 2016). Consumers access AR through wearables (e.g., Microsoft HoloLens), handheld

devices (e.g., mobile app-based makeup mirrors as shown in Figure 1), or fixed systems in

the retail outlets. AR tools can be integrated at multiple points within customer journeys

across online and offline touchpoints (Javornik, 2016a). Therefore, service providers are

adopting AR as a part of their service strategies in order to enhance consumers' digital service

experiences (Hilken *et al.,* 2017).

Insert Figure 1 here

In the online service scenario (e.g., online shopping), AR allows consumers to

manage a virtual product with similar physical gestures to those they would use to handle the

actual product, leading to a digitally embodied service experience (Hilken *et al.,* 2017; Rosa

and Malter; 2003). For example, Sephora's AR app, allows consumers to try out virtual

makeup via mobile apps, enabling novel service experiences. AR-based services assimilate

the sensory feel of touch in the digital marketplace (Brynjolfsson *et al.,* 2013), which can be

highly personalized and embedded in the environment (Chylinski *et al.,* 2020). Hence, AR

offers the feeling of "presence," in which the consumer feels that the virtual object is

'actually there' (Hilken *et al.,* 2017). Marinova *et al.* (2017) argue that the inclusion of AR

into the service strategy makes the online service experience more enjoyable and productive

for the consumers. But to date there have been few studies that explore the actual AR

consumption experiences. Hence, this study endeavors to explore actual AR consumption experiences by adopting the AR virtual try-on tools and the popular category of makeup.

2.3   Theoretical Foundation of AR and Self

AR beauty apps and filters influence consumers' self-concept through augmented (AR-generated) images (Javornik *et al.,* 2021; Scholz and Duffy, 2018). Consumers consider their augmented images to represent themselves, as a part of their extended selves (Belk, 1988; Scholz and Duffy, 2018). Explaining the concept of *extended self,* Belk (1988, p.139) contended that "knowingly or unknowingly, intentionally or unintentionally, we regard our possessions as parts of ourselves." He further theorized that an individual's extended self entails the mind, body, material belongings, family, friends, and environment. This conceptualization of extended self provides the foundation for exploring the role of possessions and consumption in developing, maintaining, and expressing the consumers' identity narratives (Ahuvia 2005; Bahl and Milne, 2010). Further, Belk (2013) adapted the tenets of extended self to the digitized world. As the digital era has transformed the way people live and present themselves to the external world, they develop a sense of attachment to the dematerialized and embodied possessions such as their online images, co-created with technology and peers. In the service context, as Caic, *et al.* (2018) elaborate, consumers perceive technology (e.g., a service robot) to be valuable when it merges with the extended self by truly enhancing the users' abilities.

Though the digital world has brought many changes, the unique affordances of AR tools reduce the frontiers between physical and virtual experiences. For instance, AR virtual try-on tools permit consumers to add digital wearable products (e.g., cosmetics, jewelry) to their live images and develop their *possible selves* (Huang, 2019). Consumer behavior studies have reckoned possible selves as a motivational factor for adoption and rejection consumption behaviors (Patrick *et al.,* 2002).

According to Markus and Nurius (1986, p.954), "Possible selves represent individuals' ideas of what they might become, what they would like to become, and what they are afraid of becoming, and thus provide a conceptual link between cognition and motivation." Individuals' arsenal of possible selves represents the cognitive embodiment of their goals, desires, motives, concerns, and intimidations (Markus and Nurius, 1986). As outlined by James (1890/1950) earlier, though individuals may incorporate diverse possibilities into their self-concept, they will have to choose some of them and let go of others in the course of life (Lindemann, 2014). Consumers engage with their life futures and identities on a continuous basis through these possible selves. Hence, as the self is fluid and malleable, possible selves manifest and vanish based on specific life circumstances and motivate consumers towards specific actions (Cross and Markus, 1991; Oyserman and Fryberg, 2006). Erikson (2007) indicates that possible selves can vary from material to abstract contexts, for instance from imagining wearing a new pair of shoes to visualizing oneself as a good student (Belk, 2003). Familiar social contexts and other individuals with whom we interact significantly influence the formation of our possible selves (Oyserman and Fryberg, 2006). Schouten (1991) also explains that in transformative procedures such as plastic surgery, consumers engage in evaluating post-procedure possible selves, with the help of mirror images, mental conceptualizations, and other self-representations.

However, the digital space provides consumers with the canvas and the tools to create their personalized versions of their good selves, their wicked selves, their desired selves, their dreaded selves, their ideal selves, even their quirky selves (Jin, 2012). Thus, AR mirror functions as a lens with which consumers may explore themselves, and is closely aligned to the mirror metaphor of identity construction (Kavoori, 2011). Just as the actual mirror reflection is not exactly the person who looks into it due to the change in dimensions (three to two), image reversal, and lack of inner reflection, the AR mirror is also only a reflection of

both possible and impossible selves. For consumers, the AR images allow visualizing their selves (aspirations, fears, dreams, and others) far beyond the reflected images (Marcengo *et al.,* 2014). AR mirrors contrast with Cooley's (1902) symbolic interactionist looking glass self. According to Cooley, individuals use other people as their mirrors and employ their judgments to ascertain how they appear to others and to modify their self-concepts accordingly. This social and judgmental context is largely absent in the private im(possible) selves generated via AR. The exception is when the person clicks a button to capture and send the AR image to someone else, thereby seeking approval and positive reinforcement more than sober judgments.

Earlier studies on consumers' possible selves involved selves generated in reference to individuals' past experiences and social contexts OR the selves generated via computer avatars (e.g., Jin, 2012). However, in the case of AR, the possible selves have higher fidelity self-reference and embodiment (Smink *et al.,* 2019), as the virtual objects are transposed to live self-images and their fantasy images oscillate between real possibilities and impossible forms. Although the dialectic between positive and negative possible selves (Banister and Hogg, 2003), or desirable and undesirable selves (Oysterman *et al.,* 2015), has been discussed in the past, the interplay between the possibilities and impossibilities and their interconnections to the self-concept in the context of 'AR mirroring' needs further exploration. Accordingly, this study focused on the experiences from the lens of a range of AR-generated possible selves. In this context, we also bring forth the role of AR virtual try-on tools, as a 'mirror' or a 'looking glass' reflecting consumers' possible selves.

3   Methodology

The main objective of this study was to capture the perspectives of experiential consumption and the self in the service context with an emphasis on AR makeup apps. To this end, we adopted depth interviews and netnography. The semi-structured in-depth

interviews were conducted in the UK and India. This format is flexible and can facilitate

fundamental inquiries or explorations (Abdulrazak and Quoquab, 2018). The participants

were selected through purposive sampling to ensure that they had some experience with AR

makeup apps. Qualification criteria included cosmetic usage and experience using AR

makeup apps and filters. We conducted 30 semi-structured in-depth interviews with

participants living in the UK (18) and India (12). Most participants are female except one

male participant who is based in the UK and two leading practitioners in the AR service

sector. UK participants included different nationalities living primarily in the UK and in

some cases in Europe or India as well (see Table I for participant information). Consistent

with the interview protocol suggested by (Belk *et al.,* 2013), participants were asked to

describe moments related to experiential consumption (e.g., fun and fantasy) and their self-

perceptions when looking in AR mirrors. To further enrich the discussion, the AR apps

Makeup Genius, Perfect 365, and Artistry were used during the interviews. The interviews

lasted between 45 minutes to 1 hour and 45 minutes. Due to pandemic-related restrictions,

interviews were conducted via a mix of face-to-face, Zoom, and Skype.

Insert Table I here

For triangulation, in the second phase, the author in India conducted a netnography a

few months before conducting the interviews to broaden the understanding of the research

phenomenon. Further, based on the insights from the interviews, the netnographic approach

was applied to further triangulate by analyzing consumers' AR based self-expressions in the

digital space. Netnography is a technique for deciphering consumer behavior and experiences

by examining internet communication in consumption communities using online data

(Kozinets, 2002). In the past, service researchers have adopted netnography to understand the

user experiences unobstructively (Zhao *et al.,* 2015). We followed the key steps: planning,

entrée, data collection, analysis, and insight generation, based on ethical guidelines

(Kozinets, 2002). We adopted a passive and unobstructed approach (Kozinets, 2010) by

analyzing consumer reviews of AR apps and their social media posts about their AR

experiences. To be consistent, we chose the same apps used in the interview discussion

(Perfect 365 and Artistry) which were among the top 50 popular apps as rated by App Annie

in 2020. For these apps, the authors collected and analyzed 342 customer reviews in the

English language from December 2019 to December 2020 with a specific length of up to 50

words (Singh, 2019). Additionally, 153 Instagram posts in English from January 2019 and

December 2020 were collected and analyzed. On all of the platforms the researchers created

profiles that included the role and research interests (entrée). To find AR relevant posts,

keywords were searched with the tags #arfilters, #arbeautyfilters, #perfect365,

#perfect365app, #perfect365apps, #artistryapp, #artistryvirtualbeautyap,

#artistryvirtualbeauty. In total, 495 online reviews and posts were collected and analyzed in

the netnography phase. Only publicly available data was collated, and user permission was

sought before using names and pictures, adhering to ethical guidelines. Table II below

summarizes the different steps in methodology design.

Insert Table II here

Since the data were collected over different periods and in different countries, the data

was analyzed separately among the first two authors. To develop the overarching themes, the

two researchers coded and analyzed the data using open, axial, and selective coding (Strauss

and Corbin, 1998) with the help of Nvivo software. First, the data was broken down into

basic code, and then ideas were connected to each other to form categories. Finally, the

categories were compared and contrasted to develop relevant themes. We were guided by

theories of experiential consumption (Hirschman and Holbrook, 1982) and the extended self

(Belk, 1988, 2013). But the connection to the theory of extended self emerged as a "puzzle"

during the analysis stage. To further explore this puzzle involving both desired and feared

selves, we used an abductive approach using the data and literature as suggested by Belk and

Sobh (2019). We held meetings among the authors to reach an agreement on the findings and

the narrative discussed in the following section.

4   Findings

We unearthed two major themes: consumer digital engagement with fantasy imagined

selves and concerns about the inauthenticity of augmented selves. Table III summarizes the

findings of the two themes.

Insert Table III here

4.1   Fantasy and Imagination

Consumers' AR experiences are formed by an amalgam of reality, virtuality,

imagination, and fantasy. Our study reveals that consumers from both India and UK have a

penchant for digitally exploring their fantasies and imaginations. On many occasions,

consumers were more interested in digitally creating styles based on their fantasies than in

understanding what products suited them best. Such experience is known as fantasy imagery

(Hirschman and Holbrook, 1982) where consumers create fantasy images which do not stem

from their prior experience. For instance, Merina describes how she uses AR to try out

celebrity-inspired fantasy looks:

> "See I have these curls and I often drool on the African style box braids. Not so
>
> popular here, but I am a hardcore Rihanna fan too. Some of these apps have so many
>
> celebrity looks, from specific movies and shows and I keep trying them at random
>
> for fun. But this Rihanna one, I did a search and created the look. That was
>
> something which I really wanted." (Merina)

Merina had no intentions to try this look in the real world, but she was able to

reconfigure the hair on her image online to become "Rihanna's Indian twin." These AR looks

are not only limited to celebrities but can also include themes like devils and fairies as shown in Figure 2.

Insert Figure 2 here

Participants also explained how they revisited the styles from a different era with the help of AR mirrors, enacting a form of 'time travel.' Shaarika fondly elaborated on her mothers' AR experiences:

> "…She [her mom] searched and found the oldies... Mommy used to have the slick waves, then there was this puffed at the top style for weddings. We had a good retro travel." (Shaarika)

Shaarika's mom was referring to Sadhana who was a popular Bollywood actress in the 1960-1980 period. She popularized the 'Sadhana cut' hairstyle inspired by the Hollywood actress Audrey Hepburn. The Sadhana cut was quite popular among young adults of that era. Like Merina, Shaarika, and Shaarika's mother, many consumers were content to only engage with their imaginary/fantasy (i.e., creating fantasy imagery; see Hirschman and Holbrook, 1982) styles in the digital AR space without adopting them or trying them out in real life. That is, AR was seen by many as a fun place to visit, but it only sustained their fantasies online. They had reservations about adopting fantasy styles in real life and doubted their skills to apply the actual makeup products to achieve the digital look. Saakshi recounted having tried several makeup styles where shades of blue predominate:

> "After imagining so much, the only bluish makeup I had was blue eyeliner, blue bindi. [Why did I never buy anything?] I am also wondering now. I think I was afraid if reality will ruin my imagination... ha (laughs for a minute). If I try to apply all these [makeup looks] in real [life], I won't be this perfect." (Saakshi)

Overall, consistent with the premises of Service Dominant-Logic (SDL) (Vargo and Lusch, 2008), participants demonstrated that they play an active role in value co-creation of

fantasies by searching for and creating fun looks (e.g., Rhianna's look). In addition,

participants enjoyed living in their fantasy worlds, but when facing the reality, they expressed

concerns about the authenticity of their augmented selves, our next major finding.

4.2   (In)Authentic-Self and Reality

Consumers feel authentic when seeing themselves in ways that seem to be consistent

with their self-concepts (Sloan, 2007). When they perceive a discrepancy, they experience

incongruence and inauthenticity (Burke, 1991). Experiencing their AR virtual images of

themselves, many participants expressed concern over the inconsistencies with their realities.

Apparently, it is one thing to envision yourself with a smaller nose (Schouten, 1991), and

quite another to envision yourself with black lipstick or blue hair. This is evident in

participants' resistance to AR makeup looks and their reactive desire for "real" makeup

shopping experiences.

4.2.1   AR versus human experience

Disembodiment and re-embodiment are important to how we extended our version of

selves in the digital world (Belk, 2013). In this study, participants felt that AR technology

failed to either disembody or reembody their version of selves due to the complexity of the

"real" human skin. For instance, AR does not respect or understand human skin, ethnicity, or

feelings when applying color on skin, particularly with luxury makeup brands. Misun and

Dea had tried the AR Magic Mirror inside Charlotte Tilbury makeup store in London. They

both agreed that while lips can have different colors, some AR colors look exaggerated. They

are both Asian, and Misun feels shy and uncomfortable trying exaggerated AR colors and

looks (e.g., dark red) which are primarily designed for Western women. Similarly, Dea

believes that colors are temperamental, and makeup must complement skin colors. For this

reason, AR makeup cannot be trusted because AR colors are not real and can change the

physical look of her face in a bad way, which negatively affects her mood. The two women

explained:

> "…The color really matters…our lips already has different colors itself…So I really
>
> needed to try by myself … I have Asian face and all of them [women in UK] they're
>
> Western ladies…I don't see myself look like someone with really red cheeks, red lips,
>
> very exaggerated." (Misun)
>
> "…[The] colors are more temperamental…I might have a yellow (cast) on my skin
>
> and the color you use affects how it compliments your skin…looking at the iPad
>
> [means AR], it just looks like it painted a color on her face and it's not
>
> multidimensional." (Dea)

Belk (2013) explains the importance of the aggregate-self to extend the version of the

digital self. Mario is a gay male who works as a makeup artist in London and wears makeup

on a regular basis. He explains the aggregate-self of makeup as a process which starts by self-

acceptance because makeup for women is self-transformative and an emotional and personal

experience "…It's [makeup] like the action before the feeling…the first step of the day that

you know It's going right or wrong [laughing]...It can be stressful as well if you're doing

something outside of your comfort zone" Mario said. In addition, Mario also believes that

AR does not respect human shape or color (e.g., lip perimeters and lips sizes), because trying

the product in person is a different experience. For example, darker colors can make a facial

feature look smaller, and if someone who has a thin lip wants to wear dark makeup, an AR

app can make their lips look very large. For this reason, AR can be unrealistic and even

"childish." Further, Mario placed emphasis on the importance of 1) multisensorial experience

in consumption contexts (e.g., feeling, seeing, and touching); 2) the trust element in person-

to-person human interaction versus the machine (i.e., AR); and 3) the broken emotions

between AR and human. Mario's opinion extends Belk's (2013) view on the aggregate-self

by showing the importance of human emotions and senses in co-constructing the AR self as he explains:

> "…It [AR] can't give you a real perspective of the product…I'm quite sure once they [consumers] try the real thing is probably going to look really different. It's not realistic enough and it's almost childlike. Certain jobs can't be done by a machine, like a doctor, of course, the makeup is not as important [laughing]. But I still think people will trust more a person rather than an app telling them what could work for them." (Mario)

Although Belk (2013) explains that consumers accept a certain leeway in their look in the digital sphere, participants rejected that leeway and felt embarrassed when they looked at themselves in AR makeup apps. Participants were not interested in creating an ideal, possible, inspirational, or alternative selves. One reason for this is the desire for "real embodiment" and the desire to look real instead of accepting AR makeup looks and suggestions. For example, when Sophie looked at herself through L'Oréal makeup AR app, she felt a mix of shame and surprise. On the one hand, she felt ashamed that the suggestive AR makeup did not look real, and it is not how the makeup will look in reality (e.g., it does not show how to properly apply blush on the cheeks). On the other hand, she felt surprised at such a look, and she started to laugh because she believed she looked like "a clown." Similarly, during Xintian's visit to the Charlotte Tilbury store in London, she felt completely ashamed of her AR look because the AR mirror made her look "stupid" by creating a "dead" look, and she described AR as "robotley" and fake. They add:

> "…You see [surprised tone] I wouldn't buy this lipstick…let's try the eyeliner [she is laughing] it is funny…the blush on the cheeks you would apply it in a lot more natural way and it will blend in your skin so it doesn't look like that. Here it sorts of look like a clown [laughing]." (Sophie)

"…I dislike the Magic Mirror because the look is very dead, it is like a dead look, because you can see the contour overhead and it doesn't really blend in, you can see the very sharp line overhead and that's fake, that's not real…there is a difference between look and real product...I think the Magic Mirror is very "robotley" [fake]… This thing makes me so stupid." (Xintian)

4.2.2 *Resisting AR reality and the desire for real experience*

Digital devices can disembody and reembody our visual self-presentation online via videos, photos, and avatars where consumers accept the psycho-physiological changes of their looks (Belk, 2013). Although the use of an avatar can represent a different version of the self (e.g., ideal, possible, aspirational, and alternative selves), participants in this study resisted their AR extended selves. Unlike Belk's (2013) views on reembodiment, participants did not feel a sense of attachment to their avatar self, and did not experience an avatar proteus effect or multiple characters. In fact, our data shows a sense disconnection between skin, body, and eyes which we call "AR Disembodiment." For Natalia, the issues with AR disembodiment are the inconsistency of the makeup color and the shape of her lips. When one researcher opened the L'Oréal app with Natalia she expressed concerns about her lips size showing on the app and said she prefers to try the real product in-store "…I'll never buy things from L'Oréal [AR app] [laughing] until I go to the store and try on my skin…it's still not believable. Like you see my lips doesn't look like the AR app [referring to the L'Oréal app]." Similarly, Maria also expressed her love for in-store makeup shopping and described it as "Disneyland" as she continues:

"…I find it [in-store shopping] like Disneyland for me…I don't find it [AR makeup] trustworthy because every person skin is different, it will look different with the lighting. So, no, I wouldn't use it [AR makeup]. … Maybe you are using a very nice color that makes your eyes cry…makes your skin look very dry…you're too

bright…it's something that you will not know in an iPad screen it's impossible to

know… A painter will tell you the same…every same color can look totally

different on your skin." (Maria)

As Maria explains, makeup is a complex tool that can simultaneously connect the

body, skin, and eyes. Therefore, she was not convinced that she could trust AR for makeup

because human skins are different, and the same color from two different brands will produce

different looks. So, it is almost impossible to figure out the difference unless she tries the

product in person. Learning the subtle differences is a skill both a painter and a makeup artist

would understand. Another important element for Maria is whether a woman's body is

allergic to certain chemical products; she usually tries to use the makeup for a couple of days

to ensure no allergic reaction, which is impossible to know online.

4.2.3 Self-acceptance versus social acceptance

Here we see the interplay between the co-construction of self (Belk, 2013) and service

co-creation (Vargo and Lusch, 2008). As online app reviews indicated, consumers resist AR

attempts to suggest looks (i.e., construct their look) and expressed a desire for greater control

over their look (i.e., co-creation of the look). One online review says "I have a distinct mole

on my face and now every time I upload my picture, it automatically edits it out completely

before applying the makeup!! I'd like to have to choose to color my face how I want to"

(Online review from Google Play Store).

Unlike the importance of sharing to extend the version of self (Belk, 2013), we

witnessed that participants did not share the images they created with just anyone. Although

participants expressed enjoyment of their new AR looks, they also feared a lack of social

acceptance, embarrassment, and shameful surprise. Their AR photos were shared "*privately*"

with very close friends and relatives in some cases, and only a small fraction of pictures made

it to social media channels. For instance, although Merina felt excited about her "different but

beautiful" "glam glam" new AR look, it took her some time to accept and believe that she could look that beautiful. She did not want to share the picture with anyone, even though it was a "glam glam" picture. She managed to share it with her mom but did *not* dare to share it with her friends because they would think she is crazy:

"…Took me some time to first digest that this glam glam girl is actually me ha ha…first shared the AR photos with mom, she was like what did you do to yourself!! Then she was like, ah this seems good…but the radically different ones, I don't share with anyone. They will think I am crazy." (Merina)

Here, we can see a different view of the aggregate-self (Belk, 2013). Merina accepts her AR image, but she feels unsure that her new image is so radically different. This can fall under the 'latitude of rejection' of her peers just as it falls in her own latitude of rejection in terms of social judgment theory (Sherif 1963). Hence, the images are most likely to be shared or adopted based on her perception of their acceptability or unacceptability to different people.

4.2.4   Makeup as a journey (reflexivity) and self-appreciation

Building on the idea that the consumer journey is self-reflexive in nature (Schau and Akaka, 2021), participants suggested that makeup is an emotional and reflexive self-appreciation journey. Dea believes makeup is an emotional experience which can empower how women feel about themselves, and mask their "ugliness" if the woman is experiencing a bad day that affects the state of their skin. Such feeling cannot be replaced by AR makeup, especially if the makeup is for a luxury brand. Consumers visit luxury makeup stores for the experience (i.e., enjoying the luxury product and interacting with the staff). Dea says:

"…As women, we know what an emotional process it is to find the right makeup, it's a journey…it is a very emotional process [laughing]…I don't think AR will ever replace the emotional experience of buying luxury makeup like CT because you're

investing so much money, you want to go you want to see the packaging, you want to

sit down you want the sales[person] to be nice to you, it's the experience." (Dea)

Fernanda has constantly expressed her self-appreciation towards her "face" and how

she and her face enjoy a strong intimate relationship. She values her face and how she looks

and enjoys the feel of wearing makeup on her face inside the store and seeing the combined

effects of products as she explains:

"…It's my face. I want it. I want to feel it. I want to try it [makeup products] on. I

want to see the consistency…with something like makeup it's not something that I

can trust any kind of virtual augmented anything for a decision like what I'm putting

on my face." (Fernanda)

4.2.5   Authentic self and proxy-self

Belk (2013) discusses how the aggregate-self is used to co-construct the version of

self. In this study, we found that when consumers search for makeup, they search for their

authentic self via the proxy-self process. Proxy-self means watching people who do not

necessarily share the same look, but rather share similarities in their look, body shape, and

skin type. This is not only limited to watching celebrities, but it can also include photos of

friends and different type of makeup influencers on different social media platforms. William

is the founder of a renowned AR agency which created the magic mirror for Charlotte

Tilbury. During the interview, he implicitly suggested the "heuristic customer journey" which

is a concept that has emerged during his conversation with the head of digital at Coty

makeup. Due to the rise of social media influencers and celebrities on social media, the

consumer journey in cosmetics has become far more complex than just looking for makeup.

The complexity of the journey lies in the fact that women might not be looking for makeup

specifically but are instead flicking through photos of friends, influencers, or celebrities. So,

in return, women might like the outfit of the person in the photo or a specific makeup look

which will trigger the need to try or search for a similar item to the one they saw in the photo.

This process might be called the search for a proxy-self, possible self as he explains:

> "…the ex-head of digital from Coti was saying that no one is going to buy a Max
>
> factor or *Cover Girl* lipstick, by going onto Google, typing in lipstick, finding the
>
> *Cover Girl* website, going onto the *Cover Girl* website, looking through various
>
> products, then buying a product. They're going to be flicking through Instagram.
>
> They're going to see an image that they like. It may be nothing to do with lipstick
>
> whatsoever…could just be a neighbor or a friend or Kim Kardashian coming out of a
>
> nightclub or just an image of someone, but you go, I really like that look, or I like
>
> what they're wearing, or I like that lipstick, you'll click on that. Go straight to a *Cover*
>
> *Girl* page where you can see the same type of products, try that on just as a kind of
>
> what do I look like? 'Yeah, I look quite good' or 'Well, no, I don't look good."
>
> (William, founder of AR agency)

Building on William's view, Dea explained how she searches for her proxy-self

online before buying instore "…I would personally go online and say I need a red lipstick for

Asian skin color see what other people have used blogger, reviewers, magazines, and then I

would go to the store and try it on myself" Dea said. In addition, Natalia explained that when

she buys makeup, she goes on Instagram first, checks bloggers' profiles, and reads reviews.

In the meantime, she acknowledges her ambivalence and the discrepancy between the look of

makeup influencers online versus *their* look in real life. However, her aim is not to look like

one of the makeup online influencers, but instead, is to find a proxy for her real look coupled

with positive word of mouth for the product from both influencers and followers. Even then,

she will not necessarily buy the product but will buy something closer to her skin tone, which

will suit her better. For this reason, she strongly believes that online influencers outperform

AR technology as she explains:

"…I'm like Instagram addict so I believe in word of mouth. If three people I'm following talking this specific product, I then would want to go and buy it…that's why I'll never actually download an app to try it [AR app]. I think Instagram bloggers really outperform AR because it is easier than going through all these efforts of the L'Oréal app, VR, AR whatever, it doesn't really portray how it looks. It gives you the shape, it gives you the color, but everything is going to be different in real life." (Natalia)

In contrast to Natalia, Amina's attitude toward the use of AR is positive within her proxy-self search. Her attitude toward online color delusions is negative. She even finds trying real makeup on her hands to check the color is not helpful because her real undertone color does not show unless she puts the makeup on her face. Amina also relies on male and female makeup influencers when buying makeup products to compliment her AR trials. Like Natalia, Amina does not aspire to look like an online makeup influencer but rather wants to find a proxy for her face shade, skin color, and skin tone. For example, she follows a black woman makeup influencer (Jackie Aina) and a white British male makeup influencer (Robert Welsh) because they share similar oily skin, face shape, and proportions. She trusts these influencers' recommendations because she believes what works for their skin will work for her. She explains:

"…I follow professional makeup like Jackie Aina. There is a man his name is Robert Welsh...I follow Robert because Robert and I have a very similar skin type, like some of the proportions of his face are dry so I also have dry bits there as well and my skin is oily as his so I know if that product works for him, it will probably work for me. Jackie as well got a very oily skin like me so I know that the products she is wearing will help me." (Amina)

Overall, our findings show strong emotions when we are dealing with the self. Our body, face, and eyes are some of the most central features of the extended self (Belk 1987, 1988). When we change makeup, we change the self, and we are no longer the same person. In addition, the findings show that consumers are active in co-creating fun experiences with AR apps. However, consumers (in)authentic-selves show the importance of the co-creation gap between the service provider and consumer. Therefore, we urge putting consumers at the forefront of service co-creation as suggested by Vargo and Lusch (2008) to improve future AR experiences.

5   Discussion and Theoretical Contribution

Our research explores experiential consumption and the extended self in the context of AR for makeup, in response to recent calls for research on the experiential aspects of AR (Chylinski *et al.,* 2020; Hilken *et al.,* 2018; Javornik, 2016a) and the perception of self when using AR for makeup (Javornik *et al.,* 2021). We have introduced two main themes: imagination and fantasy; and (in)authentic self and reality. These two themes show that, as much as consumers enjoyed the virtual makeup try-on and considered it "fun," they also expressed major concerns about the authenticity of their selves generated through AR.

Other participants in recent AR research also emphasized the importance of imagination and fantasy when using AR technology (Dacko, 2017; Olsson *et al.,* 2013; Scholz and Duffy, 2018). Although we knew AR media are rich and enhance fantasies (Javornik, 2016), our findings provide an in-depth understanding of imagination and fantasy when using AR for makeup. For example, when participants tried celebrity makeup looks (e.g., Rihanna's look), they experienced a high level of imaginative fantasy, and to a certain extent, they believed they shared celebrities' looks. In other words, AR imagination created a sense of "a dream come true" which led to a strong desire to possess the celebrity's look. The concept of desire in consumer research means an intense feeling or passion for acquiring

something (Belk *et al.,* 2003). Our participants disclosed their wishes to share celebrities'

looks. Such feelings of pleasure are consistent with AR food experiences (Batat, 2021;

Kozinets, *et al.,* 2017) when participants enjoy the multisensory and pleasurable affective

experience in an AR restaurant setting. In addition, fantasy can also be extended to the travel

back in time, triggering a sense of nostalgia (e.g., Shaarika and her mother). Other

participants acknowledged that AR looks are fun and they also wanted to believe that these

AR looks are real. That is, they wanted their lived fantasy experience (Thompson *et al.,*

1989) of the AR makeup look to become a reality. Overall, our findings for imagination and

fantasy extend findings (e.g., Scholz and Duffy, 2018) and go beyond connecting the self to

the image. They show a brand relationship that includes self-imagination of being celebrities,

nostalgia for the past, and the desire for an extended fantasy experience.

The second theme of the (in)authentic self draws attention to an under-researched area

in AR makeup: authenticity of self-representation. Our participants expressed concerns about

the importance of human-to-human experience, inauthentic AR, and appetite for real in-store

makeup experience. Christ-Brendemühl and Schaarschmidt (2021) compared AR to actual in-

store trials and found that AR generates lower engagement. The in-store co-construction of a

new self is only a start. The next step is social acceptance, which is an imagined constraint on

possible selves. In this makeup-centered reflexive journey of self-appreciation, we ultimately

face the looking glass of others' reactions (Cooley 1902).

Although prior research over the last decade shows that early adopters expect that AR

technology is likely to play a key role in their everyday lives (Dacko, 2017; Liao and

Humphreys, 2015; Olsson *et al.,* 2013), we show that AR technology is still

underperforming. Participants in Scholz and Duffy's (2018) and Batat's (2021) studies hinted

at potential areas of a clash between the inauthentic self and reality. For instance, Diana in

Scholz and Duffy (2018) expressed concerns about her inauthentic look "this is so fake…it

does not look realistic," whereas Maddison expressed a feeling of relief when using AR

makeup at home because it can take away the pressure of how people will think of her.

Similarly, one participant in Batat's (2021) study explained how her AR look in-store made

her feel "…it made me feel sick, I was literally sick." These studies reported the feeling of

inauthentic self as a matter of quality issues, but our study provides a deeper explanation of

such feelings. Our participants expressed concerns about how AR can create a sense of

broken emotions when using AR for holograms. Similar findings were portrayed in Batat's

(2021) finding that AR sound and music experiences in a restaurant setting should enhance

the interplay between guests and staff. Furthermore, Javornik *et al.* (2021) identified

consumers' self-esteem, the importance of physical appearance, and self-awareness as

important factors limiting acceptance of AR for makeup. Our study further elaborates on

these factors and emphasizes the importance of human-to-human experience, social

acceptance, and how makeup is a journey of self-appreciation. Our key insights contribute to

understanding the reflexive consumption journey (Schau and Akaka, 2021) by showing that

applying makeup in real life cannot be easily replaced by AR technology. Further, our

findings agree with Denegri-Knott and Molesworth (2010), who found that AR apps can

facilitate certain facets of actual consumer experiences. However, the unique affordances of

AR (simultaneous reality and virtuality) and the try-on apps implicate the perception of self

as the central point, much more than other forms of digital virtual consumption such as video

games or digital Avatars.

Over two decades ago, Azuma *et al.* (2001) pointed out that one of AR's primary

challenges is social acceptance. Our findings show that social acceptance is still a challenging

issue for AR, but self-acceptance is also a challenging emergent area in AR. Although Belk

(2013) anticipated that new technology could extend our version of self, our findings show

that consumers resist extending their version of self for several reasons. First, consumers

should be able to co-construct and share their version of self and their memories through the

aggregate self and thereby seek affirmation (Belk, 2013). However, our findings show that

participants are hesitant to share most of their AR makeup looks online because of their fears

of social rejection and their very conscious perceptions of their look. Here, we also see the

application of social judgment theory. Before sharing, consumers evaluate if the image will

be within the latitude of acceptance or rejection not only for them but also for others. Even

the pictures that the consumers like may not be shared with others. Second, as Belk (2013)

empathizes, the co-construction of self requires an effort of collaborative-self-building. Our

participants show that their acceptance of AR makeup looks is dependent on online makeup

influencers as a "makeup glass" (Zhao, 2005). Furthermore, there is a cycle between online

makeup influencers and offline store visits. Third, re-embodiment is an important element of

the extended online self (Belk, 2013). However, our participants constantly expressed their

preference for humanized experience and were not highly optimistic about the digital makeup

experience becoming part of their reflective-self journey involving possible makeup-

enhanced selves (Markus and Nurius 1986; Schau and Akaka, 2021). Nevertheless, they did

enjoy some components, involving fun and imagination. Overall, despite recent research on

AR and recent calls for further research (Chylinski *et al.,* 2020; de Ruyter *et al.,* 2020), we

still know little about AR. It remains an innovation whose potential is difficult to achieve

with current technology.

Based on the literature of consumer culture theory (CCT), and the service experience

literature, our findings contribute to understanding experiential consumption (Bolton *et al.*,

2014; Hirschman and Holbrook, 1982) and the extended self in digital service contexts (Belk,

2013). This further supports the synthesis and alliance between CCT research and service-

dominant logic (SDL) research (Vargo and Lusch, 2017). Further, we extend the recent AR

work of Batat (2021) by showing the importance of the lived fantasy experience when using

AR mirrors. We also show that AR images are perceived to connect to a potential self (Markus and Nurius, 1986; Scholz and Duffy, 2018), but they can also create a feeling of inauthenticity.

6   Managerial Implications

AR was described by the Ex-Chief Marketing Officer at the AR agency as "…crap…it is crap…it's crap." This expletive can shed light on the complexity of virtual makeup in the service sector and shows that as much as technology can enhance the service sector, it can also act as a negative disruptor for the consumer (Keating *et al.,* 2018). For a long time, managers have used AR solely as a sales tool (i.e., branding, inspiring, convincing, and keeping consumers) instead of adding value for consumers. In fact, AR implementation lacks strategic planning regarding consumer journeys over the long run (Rauschnabel *et al.,* 2022). As managers do not possess sufficient knowledge about AR and how to use it effectively (Rauschnabel *et al.,* 2022), the findings of this study can guide service providers to design efficient AR service experience in several ways. At the service level, the findings show the service design gap between the service provider (agencies and companies) and consumers. More specifically, there is a problem in the way AR service providers design and manage the gap between expected *and* the perceived service by consumers. Thus, we provide three different directions for service providers. First, it is important for the service providers to balance the fun factor while enabling a realistic service experience. Second, we urge service providers to involve consumers in the co-creation process of the AR service experience from the very beginning to facilitate the creation of more authentic AR self-images. Third, we draw attention to the need for inclusive technology features to cover individual differences which can cater for consumers with varying physical characteristics (e.g., Asian versus western women).

In summary, we urge managers to 1) better plan AR service design; 2) understand the makeup consumption journey of the consumer-self; and 3) consider the expected versus perceived service experience.

7   Conclusion

Contrary to prior work, we found obstacles and challenges to cosmetic AR use in its perceived authenticity, color inaccuracy, and fear of social rejection. Despite the strong experiential role of AR, these challenges may reduce the impact of AR experiential aspects and limit AR success in the service sector. Our study attempted to explore access to possible selves through the tenets of experiential consumption and extended self. Possible selves are only tentatively cathected as part of extended self until 1) the proxy or AR self is experienced, preferably in-store, and 2) the newly tangibilized possible self is accepted by others. Thus, by authenticity of AR makeup look, our participants mean something closer to plausibility. They want the self that others know or a variant of that self that they will at least find to be a plausible facsimile, like the proxy selves they try to find in magazines and blogs. In this sense, they want their AR-inspired makeup-enhanced possible self to cohere with the authentic self (Belk 2013).

Jacques Lacan (1966/2006, pp. 75-81) maintains that in the "mirror stage" the infant sees a self-image in a mirror and misrecognizes it as being a whole ideal self rather than the actual floundering infant. According to Lacan, in this mirror stage (which is likely to be digital these days), the infant first realizes a unique self-identity apart from the mother. If the results of the mirror stage are largely positive for the child, we must conclude that, apart from play, in terms of self-concept and self-confidence, the Magic Makeup Mirror experience is largely negative. Like the infant in the mirror stage, our participants misrecognize their face in the mirror as an ideal independent authentic self. But there are two problems with this misrecognition as we have emphasized. First, the ideal image in the mirror is a fantasy that

may be fun to play with but cannot be achieved in real life. Second, even if something like

the image in the mirror could be achieved, they fear that their friends and relatives would not

accept it as being an authentic self. It is a bridge too far, a look that they cannot pull off as

being genuine. And going back to the earlier looking glass metaphor of Charles Cooley

(1902), we find that friends, relatives, and colleagues are the real mirror with which we see

ourselves.

References

Abdulrazak, S., and Quoquab, F. (2018). "Exploring consumers' motivations for sustainable consumption: a self-deterministic approach," Journal *of International Consumer* Marketing, Vol.30 No.1, pp.14-28.

Ahuvia, A. C. (2005), "Beyond the extended self: Loved objects and consumers' identity narratives*," Journal of consumer research*, Vol.32 No.1, pp.171-184.

Arnould, E. J., and Price, L. L. (1993), "River Magic: Extraordinary experience and the extended service encounter," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.20 No.1, pp.24-45.

Azuma, R. T. (1997), "A survey of augmented reality," *Presence: teleoperators and virtual environments*, Vol.6 No.4, pp.355-385.

Bahl, S., and Milne, G. R. (2010), "Talking to ourselves: A dialogical exploration of consumption experiences," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.37 No.1, pp.176-195.

Banister, E. N., and Hogg, M. K. (2003), "Possible selves? Identifying dimensions for exploring the dialectic between positive and negative selves in consumer behavior," *ACR North American Advances*, pp. 149-150.

Batat, W. (2021), "How augmented reality (AR) is transforming the restaurant sector: Investigating the impact of "Le Petit Chef" on customers' dining experiences," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 172 No.20, p.121013.

Beck, M., Crié, D. (2018), "I virtually try it… I want it! Virtual Fitting Room: A tool to increase online and offline exploratory behavior, patronage and purchase intentions," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol.40, pp.279-286.

Belk, R. (1987), "Identity and the Relevance of Market, Personal, and Community Objects," *Marketing and Semiotics: New Directions in the Study of Signs for Sale*, Jean Sebeok ed., Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 151-164

Belk, R. (1988), "Possessions and the Extended Self," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 15 No.2, pp.139-168.

2

Belk, R. (2003), "Shoes and Self," *Advances in Consumer Research*, 29, 27-33

Belk, R.W. (2013), "Extended self in a digital world," *Journal of consumer research*, Vol.40 No.3, pp.477-500.

Belk, R., Fischer, E. and Kozinets, R.V. (2012), *Qualitative consumer and marketing research*, Sage.

Belk, R. W., Ger, G., and Askegaard, S. (2003), "The fire of desire: A multisite inquiry into consumer passion," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.30 No.3, pp.326-351.

Belk, R. and Sobh, R. (2019), No assemblage required: On pursuing original consumer culture theory. *Marketing Theory*, Vol.19 No.4, pp.489-507.

Blazevic, V., Hammedi, W., Garnefeld, I., Rust, R.T., Keiningham, T., Andreassen, T.W., Donthu, N. and Carl, W. (2013), "Beyond traditional word-of-mouth: An expanded model of customer-driven influence", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 294-313.

N. Bolton, R., Gustafsson, A., McColl-Kennedy, J., J. Sirianni, N. and K. Tse, D. (2014), "Small details that make big differences : A radical approach to consumption experience as a firm's differentiating strategy", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 253-274

Bonetti, F., Warnaby, G., and Quinn, L. (2018), "Augmented reality and virtual reality in physical and online retailing: A review, synthesis and research agenda," *Augmented reality and virtual reality*, pp. 119-132.

Brynjolfsson, E., Hu, Y. J., and Rahman, M. S. (2013), "Competing in the age of omnichannel retailing," *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol.54 No.4, pp.23–29.

Buhalis, D., Harwood, T., Bogicevic, V., Viglia, G., Beldona, S. and Hofacker, C. (2019), "Technological disruptions in services: lessons from tourism and hospitality," Journal of Service Management, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 484-506.

Burke, P. J. (1991), "Identity Processes and Social Stress," *American Sociological Review*, Vol.56, pp.1103–10.

Čaić, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G. and Mahr, D. (2018), "Service robots: value co-creation and co-destruction in elderly care networks", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 178-205.

Celsi, R. L., Rose, R. L., and Leigh, T. W. (1993), "An exploration of high-risk leisure consumption through skydiving," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.20 No.1, pp.1-23.

Chaney, D., Lunardo, R., and Mencarelli, R. (2018), "Consumption experience: past, present, and future," Qualitative *Market Research: An International Journal*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 402-420.

Christ-Brendemühl, S. and Schaarschmidt, M. (2022), "Customer fairness perceptions in augmented reality-based online services", Journal of Service Management, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 9-32.

Chylinski, M., Heller, J., Hilken, T., Keeling, D., Mahr, D. and de Ruyter, K. (2020), "Augmented reality marketing: a technology-enabled approach to situated customer experience," *Australasian Marketing Journal*, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 374-384.

Cooley, C. (1902), *Human Nature and the Social Order*, New York: Scribner's.

Cross, S., and Markus, H. (1991), "Possibles selves accross the life span," *Human Development*, pp.230–255.

Dacko, S. G. (2017), "Enabling smart retail settings via mobile augmented reality shopping apps," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 124, pp. 243-256.

Deloitte. (2020). *Augmented shopping: The quiet revolution*. Deloitte Insights. https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/emerging-technologies/augmented-shopping-3d-technology-retail.html

Denegri-Knott, J. and Molesworth, M., (2010), "Concepts and practices of digital virtual consumption. Consumption," *Markets and Culture*, Vol.13 No.2, pp.109-132.

De Ruyter, K., Heller, J., Hilken, T., Chylinski, M., Keeling, D. I., and Mahr, D. (2020), "Seeing with the customer's eye: Exploring the challenges and opportunities of AR advertising," *Journal of Advertising*, Vol.49 No.2 pp.109-124.

Enrique Bigné, J., Mattila, A.S. and Andreu, L. (2008), "The impact of experiential consumption cognitions and emotions on behavioral intentions", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 303-315.

Epp, A. M. and Otnes, C. C. (2021) 'High-Quality Qualitative Research: Getting Into Gear', *Journal of Service Research*, 24(2), pp. 163–167.

Erikson, M. G. (2007), "The meaning of the future: Toward a more specific definition of possible selves," *Review of general psychology*, Vol.11 No.4, pp.348-358.

Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., and Orús, C. (2019), "The impact of virtual, augmented and mixed reality technologies on the customer experience," *Journal of business research,* Vol.100, No.547-560.

Gómez, M., González-Díaz, B., Martín-Consuegra, D., and Molina, A. (2017), "How do offline and online environments matter in the relational marketing approach?," *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, Vol.30 No.1, pp.368-380.

Helkkula, A. (2011), "Characterising the concept of service experience," *Journal of Service Management,* Vol.22 No.3, pp.367-389;

Ha, S., and Stoel, L. (2012), "Online apparel retailing: roles of e-shopping quality and experiential e-shopping motives," *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 197-215.

Hilken, T., Heller, J., Chylinski, M., Keeling, D. I., Mahr, D., and de Ruyter, K. (2018), "Making omnichannel an augmented reality: the current and future state of the art," *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 509-523.

Hilken, T., de Ruyter, K., Chylinski, M., Mahr, D., and Keeling, D. I. (2017), "Augmenting the eye of the beholder: exploring the strategic potential of augmented reality to enhance online service experiences," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol.45 No.6, pp.884-905.

Hirschman, E.C. and Holbrook, M.B., 1982. Hedonic consumption: emerging concepts, methods and propositions. *Journal of marketing*, *46*(3), pp.92-101.

Holbrook, M. B. (Ed.). (1999), *Consumer value: a framework for analysis and research*, Psychology Press.

Holmqvist, J., Wirtz, J., and Fritze, M. P. (2020), "Luxury in the digital age: A multi-actor service encounter perspective," *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 121, pp. 747-756.

Huang, T. L. (2019), "Psychological mechanisms of brand love and information technology identity in virtual retail environments," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol.47, pp. 251-264.

Huang, T.-L. and Hsu Liu, F. (2014), "Formation of augmented-reality interactive technology's persuasive effects from the perspective of experiential value", *Internet Research*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 82-109

Jaakkola, E., Helkkula, A., and Aarikka-Stenroos, L. (2015), "Service experience co-creation: conceptualization, implications, and future research directions," *Journal of Service Management*," Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 182-205.

James, W. (1890/1950). *The principles of psychology (Vol. 1)*. New York: Dover.

Javornik, A. (2016a), "Augmented reality: Research agenda for studying the impact of its media characteristics on consumer behavior," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol.30, pp.252-261.

Javornik, A. (2016b), "It's an illusion, but it looks real! Consumer affective, cognitive and behavioral responses to augmented reality applications," *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol.32 No.9-10, pp.987-1011.

Javornik, A., Marder, B., Barhorst, J., McLean, G., Rogers, Y., Marshall, P., Warlop, L. (2022), "What lies behind the filter? Uncovering the motivations for using augmented reality (AR) face filters on social media," *Computers in Human Behavior,* Vol.128, No.2022*,* pp.107126.

Javornik, A., Marder, B., Pizzetti, M., and Warlop, L. (2021), "Augmented self-The effects of virtual face augmentation on consumers' self-concept," *Journal of Business Research*, Vol.130, pp.170-187.

Jin, S. A. A. (2012), "The virtual malleable self and the virtual identity discrepancy model: Investigative frameworks for virtual possible selves and others in avatar-based identity construction and social interaction," *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol.28 No.6, pp.2160-2168.

Kavoori, A. (2015), "Making sense of YouTube," *Global Media Journal*, Vol.13 No.24, pp.1-25.

Keating, B. W., McColl-Kennedy, J. R. and Solnet, D. (2018), "Theorizing beyond the horizon: service research in 2050," *Journal of Service Management*, Vol.29 No.5, pp. 766–775.

Kozinets, R. V. (2002), "The field behind the screen: Using netnography for marketing research in online communities. Journal of marketing research," Vol.39 No.1, pp. 61-72.

Kozinets, R. V. (2010), *Netnography: Doing ethnographic research online*, Sage publications.

Kozinets, R. V., Patterson, A., and Ashman, R. (2017), "Networks of Desire: How Technology Increases Our Passion to Consume," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 3, 659-682.

Lacan, J. (1996/2006), *Écrits*, Bruce Fink, trans., New York: W, W. Norton.

Liao, T. (2018), "Future directions for mobile augmented reality research: Understanding relationships between augmented reality users, nonusers, content, devices, and industry," *Mobile, Media, and Communication*, Vol.7 No.1, pp.131-149.

Liao, T., Humphreys, L. (2015), "Layered places: Using mobile augmented reality to tactically reengage, reproduce, and re-appropriate public space," *New Media and Society*, Vol.17 No.9, pp.1418-1435.

Lindemann, H. (2014), *Holding and Letting Go: The Social Practice of Personal Identities*, Oxford" Oxford University Press.

Marcengo, A., Buriano, L., and Geymonat, M. (2014), "Speach.io: A personal QS mirror for life patterns discovery and" self" reshaping," *in International Conference on Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 215-226). Springer, Cham.

Markus, H., Nurius, P. (1986). "Possible selves," *American Psychologist*, Vol.41 No.9, pp. 954-969.

Marinova, D., de Ruyter, K., Huang, M. H., Meuter, M. L., and Challagalla, G. (2017). Getting smart: Learning from technology-empowered frontline interactions. *Journal of Service Research*, 20(1), 29-42.

Olsson, T., Lagerstam, E., Kärkkäinen, T. and Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila, K., 2013. Expected user experience of mobile augmented reality services: a user study in the context of shopping centres. *Personal and ubiquitous computing*, *17*(2), pp.287-304.

Oyserman, D., and Fryberg, S. (2006), "The possible selves of diverse adolescents: Content and function across gender, race and national origin," In, *Possible Selves: Theory, Research, and Applications* Editors: Curtis Dunkel and Jennifer Kerpelman, pp. 17-39.

Patrick, V. M., MacInnis, D. J., and Folkes, V. S. (2002), "Approaching what we hope for and avoiding what we fear: The role of possible selves in consumer behavior," *ACR North American Advances*. ," in NA - Advances in Consumer Research Volume 29, eds. Susan M. Broniarczyk and Kent Nakamoto, Valdosta, GA : Association for Consumer Research, Pages: 270-276.

Pendergast, M. (2009), *Mirror, mirror: A history of the human love affair with reflection*, Basic Books.

Pine, B. J., and Gilmore, J. (1998), "Welcome to the experience economy," *Harvard business review*, Vol.76 No.4, pp. 97-105.

Qin, H., Peak, D. A., and Prybutok, V. (2021), "A virtual market in your pocket: How does mobile augmented reality (MAR) influence consumer decision making?" *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol.58, No.102337.

Rauschnabel, P.A., Babin, B.J., tom Dieck, M.C., Krey, N. and Jung, T., 2022. What is augmented reality marketing? Its definition, complexity, and future. *Journal of Business Research*, *142*, pp.1140-1150.

Rauschnabel, P. A., Felix, R., and Hinsch, C. (2019), "Augmented reality marketing: How mobile AR-apps can improve brands through inspiration," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol.49, pp.43-53.

Rosa, J. A., and Malter, A. J. (2003). E-(embodied) knowledge and e-commerce: How physiological factors affect online sales of experiential products. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 13(1-2), 63-73.

Schau, H. J., and Akaka, M. A. (2021), "From customer journeys to consumption journeys: a consumer culture approach to investigating value creation in practice-embedded consumption," *AMS Review*, pp. 1-14.

Schindler, R. M., and Holbrook, M. B. (2003), "Nostalgia for early experience as a determinant of consumer preferences, *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol.20 No.4, pp.275-302.

Scholz, J., and Duffy, K. (2018), "We are at home: How augmented reality reshapes mobile marketing and consumer-brand relationships," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol.44, pp.11-23.

Scholz, J., and Smith, A. N. (2016), "Augmented reality: Designing immersive experiences that maximize consumer engagement," *Business Horizons*, Vol.59 No.2, pp.149-161.

Schouten, J. (1991), "Selves in Transition: Symbolic Consumption in Personal Rites of Passage and Identity Reconstruction," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.17 No.4, pp.412-425.

Scott, R., Cayla, J, and Cova, B. (2017), "Selling pain to the saturated self." *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.44 No.1, pp. 22-43.

Seregina, A., and Weijo, H. A. (2017), "Play at any cost: How cosplayers produce and sustain their ludic communal consumption experiences," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.44 No.1, pp.139-159.

Sherif, CW (1963). "Social categorization as a function of latitude of acceptance and series range," *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, Vol.67 No.2, pp.148–56.

Singh, R. (2019), "Why do online grocery shoppers switch or stay? An exploratory analysis of consumers' response to online grocery shopping experience," *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, Vol. 47 No. 12, pp. 1300-1317.

Sloan, M. M. (2007), "The "real self" and inauthenticity: The importance of self-concept anchorage for emotional experiences in the workplace," *Social Psychology Quarterly*, Vol.70 No.3, pp.305-318.

Smink, A. R., Frowijn, S., van Reijmersdal, E. A., van Noort, G., and Neijens, P. C. (2019). "Try online before you buy: How does shopping with augmented reality affect brand responses and personal data disclosure," *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 35, 100854.

Smith, R. K., Vandellen, M. R. and Ton, L. A. N. (2021), "Make-up Who You Are: Self-Expression Enhances the Perceived Authenticity and Public Promotion of Beauty Work," *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol.48, pp. 102–122.

Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1998), *Basics of qualitative research techniques*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publications.

Teixeira, J., Patrício, L., Nunes, N. J., Nóbrega, L., Fisk, R. P., and Constantine, L. (2012). "Customer experience modeling: from customer experience to service design," *Journal of Service management*, Vol 23 No 3, pp. 362-376.

Thompson, C. J., Locander, W. B., and Pollio, H. R. (1989), "Putting consumer experience back into consumer research: The philosophy and method of existential-phenomenology," *Journal of consumer research*, Vol.16 No.2, pp.133-146.

Vargo, S. L., and Lusch, R. F. (2008). Service-dominant logic: continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 1-10.

Vargo, S.L. and Lusch, R.F., 2017. Service-dominant logic 2025. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *34*(1), pp.46-67.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Xu, J., and Chan, A. (2010), "Service experience and package tours," *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, Vol.15 No.2, pp.177-194.

Zhao, S. (2005), "The digital self: Through the looking glass of Telecopresent others," *Symbolic interaction*, Vol.28 No.3, pp.387-405.

Zhao, J., Wang, T., and Fan, X. (2015), "Patient value co-creation in online health communities: social identity effects on customer knowledge contributions and membership continuance intentions in online health communities*." Journal of Service Management,* Vol.26 No1, pp.72-96.

Figure 1. AR Makeup

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 2. AR Makeup (Fantasy) Instagram Post

Table I. Participants Information

No	Name (Pseudonym)	Age	Gender	Profession	Nationality/Country of Residence
1.	Amina	20	F	Student	British/UK
2.	Xintian	27	F	Student	Chinese/UK
3.	Misun	30	F	Student	Chinese/UK
4.	Mario	23	M	Sales Assistant	Italian/UK
5.	Maria	29	F	Investor Analyst	Spanish/Spain
6.	Fernanda	30	F	Performance Analyst	Portuguese/UK
7.	Natalia	29	F	Student	Russian/UK
8.	Antonella	27	F	Sales Representative	Italian/Ireland
9.	Dea	35	F	Events Manager	British/UK
10.	Sophie	20	F	Student	German/UK
11.	Fiona	33	F	Business Development Manager	British/UK
12.	Melanie	31	F	Work Coach	Italian/UK
13	Melissa	48	F	Student	British/UK
14.	Maryna	33	F	Student	Iranian/UK
15.	Laura	31	F	Teacher	Italian/Italy
16.	Sarah	35	F	Teacher	British/France
17.	William	50	M	Founder of AR Agency	British/UK
18.	Haci	55	M	Ex-CMO at AR Agency	British/UK
19.	Merina	21	F	Student	Indian/India
20.	Shaarika	24	F	Research Executive	Indian/India

21.	Saakshi	26	F	Marketer	Indian/India
22.	Damini	19	F	Student	Indian/India
23.	Nadira	27	F	Engineer	Indian/India
24.	Sulakshana	19	F	Student	Indian/India
25.	Venya	21	F	Student	Indian/India
26.	Maryam	28	F	Research Executive	Indian/India
27.	Ananya	28	F	Home Maker	Indian/India
28.	Aneeta	21	F	Student	Indian/India
29.	Diya	30	F	Home Maker	Indian/India
30.	Sargam	24	F	Freelancer	Indian/India

Table II Summary of Research Design and Data Collection

Method	Country	Period	Data volume	AR familiarity	AR makeup platforms
Interviews	UK	November 2019 – November 2020	18 interviews	Light to heavy	Makeup mirror at Charlotte Tilbury Makeup Genuius app by L'Oréal
Netnography	India	December 2019 – December 2020	495 reviews (Instagram and app store)	Light to heavy	Perfect 365 and Artistry
Interviews	India	June 2020 – April 2021	12 interviews	Light to heavy	Perfect 365 and Artistry

Table III. Findings Summary

Theme	Code	Description	Example
Imagination and Fantasy	Magic Fantasy Memory Dream Illusion	Consumers describe how their AR images enhanced their fantasy and imagination	"…I got to try the box curls with Rihanna's entire mint-ish green look from that British award show. I really felt like Rihanna's Indian twin, dream come true really, though minus the music haha." "…Mom tells me about styles popular in 80s, that [had] Sadhana bangs and all. I got mom to try 80s looks and she was like wowieee.... so many past memories came up."
(In)Authentic Self and Reality	AR versus human experience	Strong desire for real human experience and the call for humanised AR	"…I don't think anybody in store would use it [the AR mirror] because if you're going to the store, you're already going there for the human interaction…that's definitely what is missing that human aspect…with makeup, with woman is so important. It's so emotional."

			"…oh you see this is what I was talking about [in a funny laughing tone] oh my god, look I would never wear this [very surprised], it doesn't look real, does it look real? Do you think I will go out like this? [we are both laughing]."
	Resisting AR reality and the desire for real experience	In-store shopping experience is unique and difficult to replace using AR to achieve makeup consistency	"…I don't think I would use it no, no, I wouldn't use it. I'm sure I like buying Cosmetics… Cosmetics is something that I enjoy, I enjoy walking in and trying stuff." "…it's also not only how it looks to me it's also consistency of a product. For example, if it's a lipstick, there's different sort of lipsticks like a lip gloss mad lipstick. It's the consistency of the product that this app can't tell me what it is."
	Self-acceptance versus social acceptance	Resisiting AR suggestions and the fear of social rejection when sharing AR makeup photos	"what the hell ya doing every time by whitening my face. I am dusky and I wanna know what is

			matching my face. Can someone be realistic here for God's sake!!!" "…I did not share the pics with anyone but saved them on my phone. I looked so different, though beautiful."
	Authentic-self and proxy-self	Online makeup influencers outperform AR where consumers seek a proxy-self in social media platforms and online reviews.	"…I said people on Instagram look like the same as they look in real life, it's not, so; it's the same with AR, it's doesn't look the same in the real life like it looks on the app. … I'm going to pick up the same color the influencer has, it's probably going to look different on me because we're all different." "…I usually go on YouTube…first see what it looks like on them [influencers] and then I'll go and try those specific colors on myself…Because someone might say oh, this is a very nice deep red, but my skin tone is different to theirs…I don't believe that it [AR]

			takes into account your undertone, what your skin tone does, or the tone of your lips."

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60