

---

# TaskCam

**Andy Boucher**  
**Dean Brown**  
**Liliana Ovalle**  
**Andy Sheen**  
**Mike Vanis**  
**William Gaver**

Interaction Research Studio  
Goldsmiths, University of London  
London, SW14 6NW, UK  
initial.surname@gold.ac.uk

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.  
*CHI'18 Extended Abstracts, April 21–26, 2018, Montréal, QC, Canada*  
© 2018 Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5621-3/18/04.  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3170427.3186536>

## Abstract

TaskCams are simple digital cameras designed for studies of users and their contexts. Researchers and practitioners can build their own TaskCams using instructions and videos from [www.probetools.net](http://www.probetools.net), off-the-shelf parts, and a custom Arduino shield made available from the site. There is a myriad of options for customisation and modification, allowing researchers to adopt and adapt them to their needs. We view the open-source distribution of TaskCams as a novel approach to disseminating a research methodology.

## Author Keywords

Design research; cultural probes; user studies; context studies; open source; making.

## ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Miscellaneous

## Introduction

TaskCams (see Figure 1) are simple digital cameras designed for user studies. In addition to the camera and a trigger mechanism, they include a small screen on the back that shows short texts asking questions or requesting images, which can be scrolled using buttons mounted on either side. When a photo is taken, it is stored on an internal flash card and tagged with the text

## A Camera for Cultural Probes Studies

TaskCams are intended to support researchers in creating Cultural Probes.

Cultural Probes are collections of evocative tasks designed to elicit evocative responses that can provide insight about participants [2,4,5].

Usually designed to be simple, playful and open-ended, Probes make a virtue of ambiguity [5], encouraging evolving dialogues with participants rather than authoritative accounts.

TaskCams recreate one of the most frequently reiterated probe tasks, disposable cameras relabelled with requests for pictures, in a device that can be reconfigured and reused multiple times.

Thus TaskCams encourage the adoption of the Probe's playful ethos, while remaining open for more traditional uses.

that is currently appearing. Each time a new photo is taken, a small tick (✓) is added to a row above the text used to tag it. Flash cards can be removed to retrieve images, to change the list of texts, or to modify the operating code for the device.

TaskCams are designed as self-documentation tools for participants of user studies. In particular, they are intended to support Cultural Probes studies (see sidebar), taking advantage both of the ambiguity of images and the potential playfulness of requests. Available via open-source distribution, they are easy for researchers to customise in a variety of ways for the purposes of their own studies.

### Making TaskCams

TaskCams are built on a custom PCB available from [www.probetools.net](http://www.probetools.net). A fully functioning TaskCam can be assembled simply by plugging an Arduino microprocessor [1] into the PCB and inserting 2 AA batteries and a micro SD card, loaded with software available from the website, into the appropriate holders (see Figure 2). Currently the TaskCam hardware (including the Arduino Uno) costs about £35, or \$45.

The resulting camera can be packaged for immediate use. Alternatively, the PCB, which is perforated and predrilled, can be snapped apart and reconfigured in a variety of ways. About a dozen configurations (including one for a screenless camera about the size of a box of matches) are possible without soldering simply by plugging the separated boards together in different combinations, and other possibilities can be realised with a limited amount of soldering. This allows TaskCams to be constructed in a variety of forms chosen for their affordances or aesthetic qualities.



**Figure 1:** Paper-clad TaskCam. © 2018 Interaction Research Studio

Technically, the PCB splits into 3 sections; a 2 megapixel Camera Module, originally designed for use in early camera mobiles phones [7], a battery pack for powering the device, and an Arduino compatible 'shield' for use with the Arduino microprocessing platform [1]. The 'shield' incorporates the OLED display and the user interface buttons, while the camera section contains the Micro SD card reader and the camera sensor. The decision to incorporate the SD card reader into the camera section is to allow the camera to function as a free standing camera without the 'shield', if desired. The SD card stores the text for the questions displayed and the resulting images taken. New camera software can be downloaded directly from the website or modified to provide new functionality. See [3] for more details.

### TaskCam Housings

TaskCams can be housed in a variety of casings, both to protect them and suggest cultural connotations to participants. We are releasing two 'official' designs for casings via [www.probetools.net](http://www.probetools.net), one designed to be 3D printed, and the other made from paper or card (see

Figure 1). In addition, we are making other, less resolved casing designs available on the website.

The first 'official' case is designed to be produced on 3D printers (Figure 2). One of its most significant features is that it can be printed with no support material, allowing it to be made on a very wide range of printers including old or very inexpensive ones. The case is rectilinear and includes relatively large planes to which stickers can be attached, whether to add instructions or to 'brand' the devices for particular projects.

The second casing is made from paper or card cut from two A4 sheets (Figure 1). The design is distributed in the form of downloadable templates which can be printed directly on paper for hand- or laser-cutting. Using printed card or paper for this case makes customisation easy, whether through choice of stock or via printing, painting, or writing. The result is not as robust as the 3D TaskCam but is approachable and easy to customise.


Plans for the 3D casings are made available to download in all popular 3D formats (currently .STL and .STP) via a link on the website which leads to the GitHub repository [6]. Fully editable AutoDesk Fusion 360 designs are also available via the website. Because we are releasing the original 3D models for our designs, makers will have complete control to adapt or customise them at will.

### Conclusion

We have designed TaskCams to be inexpensive, easy to make and use, and customisable tools for user research. We hope that members of the CHI community will find them useful to adopt and adapt for their own studies.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Naho Matsuda for contributing to the design of [www.probetools.net](http://www.probetools.net). This project is supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council award EP/M015327/1.



**Figure 2.** 3D TaskCam with hardware components: custom PCB, Arduino Uno, SD card and batteries. © 2018 Interaction Research Studio

## References

1. Arduino CC. Retrieved 18 September 2017 from <https://www.arduino.cc>
2. Kirsten Boehner, William Gaver, and Andy Boucher. 2012. Probes. In Celia Lury and Nina Wakeman (eds.) *Inventive Methods: The happening of the social*. Routledge.
3. Andy Boucher, Dean Brown, Liliana Ovalle, Andy Sheen, Mike Vanis, William Odom, Doenja Oegjes and William Gaver. 2018. TaskCam: Designing and Testing an Open Tool for Cultural Probes Studies. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA.
4. Bill Gaver, Tony Dunne, and Elena Pacenti. 1999. Design: Cultural probes. *interactions* 6, 1 (January 1999), 21-29. DOI=<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/291224.291235>
5. William W. Gaver, Andrew Boucher, Sarah Pennington, and Brendan Walker. 2004. Cultural probes and the value of uncertainty. *interactions* 11, 5 (September 2004), 53-56. DOI=<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1015530.1015555>
6. GitHub. Retrieved 18 September 2017 from [github.com](https://github.com).
7. Omnivision ~OV2640 Color CMOS UXGA (2.0 MegaPixel) CameraChip. Retrieved 20 February 2018 from <http://www.ovt.com/download/datasets/1866/OV2640%20Volume%20Production%20-%20FINAL.pdf>